

Ethics and Malpractice Statement

Achievers International Campus

Global Conference for Multidisciplinary Research 2026 (GCMR)

1. Introduction

The Achievers International Campus Global Conference for Multidisciplinary Research 2026 (GCMR 2026) is committed to maintaining high standards of academic integrity, research ethics, and professional conduct. The conference takes appropriate measures to prevent and address academic misconduct and malpractice.

All participants, including authors, reviewers, editors, and conference organizers, are expected to uphold the principles of honesty, originality, transparency, fairness, and respect throughout the submission, review, and publication processes.

2. Duties of Authors

2.1 Originality and Plagiarism

- Authors must ensure that their submissions are original and have not been published or submitted elsewhere in substantially similar form.
- Proper acknowledgement and citation must be provided for ideas, words, data, images, and other material taken from other sources.
- Plagiarism, including self-plagiarism and inappropriate reuse of previously published material, is not acceptable.

2.2 Data Accuracy and Research Integrity

- Authors are responsible for ensuring the accuracy and integrity of the data, methodology, analysis, and findings presented in their work.
- Fabrication, falsification, manipulation, or misrepresentation of research data or findings is considered academic misconduct.
- Where applicable, authors must obtain the necessary ethical approval and informed consent for research involving human participants or other ethically sensitive research.

2.3 Authorship

- Authorship should be limited to individuals who have made a significant contribution to the research or manuscript.
- Individuals who have not made an appropriate contribution should not be listed as authors.
- All listed authors must approve the final manuscript and consent to its submission to GCMR 2026.
- Any changes to authorship after submission should be appropriately

communicated to the conference organizers and agreed upon by all relevant authors.

2.4 Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

- Authors must disclose any financial, professional, personal, or other conflicts of interest that could influence the research or its interpretation.
- Sources of financial support or funding relevant to the research should be appropriately disclosed.

2.5 Multiple, Redundant, or Concurrent Submissions

- Authors should not submit substantially the same manuscript to multiple conferences or publications simultaneously.
- Any significant overlap with previously published or submitted work should be clearly disclosed.

2.6 Use of Artificial Intelligence

- Authors remain fully responsible for the accuracy, originality, and integrity of their submissions when using artificial intelligence or generative AI tools.
- AI tools must not be used to fabricate data, results, references, or other research information.
- Where disclosure of AI use is required, authors should provide appropriate acknowledgement in accordance with the conference guidelines.

3. Duties of Reviewers

3.1 Confidentiality

- Reviewers must treat all manuscripts and information received during the review process as confidential.
- Manuscripts must not be shared, discussed, or used for personal or professional purposes without authorization.

3.2 Objectivity and Fairness

- Reviews must be conducted objectively, fairly, and without personal bias.
- Reviewers should provide constructive, relevant, and professional feedback.
- Personal, offensive, or derogatory comments are not appropriate.

3.3 Acknowledgement of Sources

- Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been appropriately cited by the authors.
- Any substantial similarity, suspected plagiarism, or other potential academic misconduct should be reported to the appropriate conference authority.

3.4 Conflicts of Interest

- Reviewers must disclose any actual or potential conflict of interest.
- Reviewers should not evaluate submissions where personal, professional, collaborative, competitive, or institutional relationships could affect their

impartiality.

4. Duties of Editors and Conference Committee

4.1 Publication and Acceptance Decisions

- Decisions regarding acceptance, presentation, or inclusion in conference proceedings should be based on the academic quality, originality, relevance, and scope of the submission.
- Decisions should not be influenced by the author's race, gender, religion, ethnicity, nationality, political views, or other irrelevant personal characteristics.

4.2 Fair and Impartial Review

- The editorial and review process should be conducted fairly and consistently for all submissions.
- Editors and conference committee members should take appropriate action where ethical concerns or potential misconduct are identified.

4.3 Confidentiality

- Information relating to submitted manuscripts, reviews, and editorial decisions must be kept confidential and shared only with individuals directly involved in the review and decision-making process.

4.4 Conflicts of Interest

- Editors and conference committee members must declare any conflicts of interest and, where appropriate, withdraw from decisions involving submissions with which they have a conflict.
- Unpublished information contained in a submission must not be used for personal research or advantage without the author's permission.

5. Ethical Oversight and Misconduct

- GCMR 2026 reserves the right to investigate allegations of plagiarism, fabrication, falsification, authorship misconduct, conflicts of interest, unethical research practices, or other forms of academic malpractice.
- Allegations will be handled fairly, confidentially, and as objectively as possible.
- Where misconduct is confirmed, appropriate action may include correction, rejection, withdrawal, or retraction of the submission, notification of the relevant institution, or restriction from future participation in GCMR conferences.
- All individuals involved in an investigation are expected to cooperate with the process.

6. Handling of Complaints and Appeals

- Complaints concerning ethical breaches, academic misconduct, conflicts of interest, or the review process should be submitted to the GCMR Ethics Committee at gcmr@iaicampus.com.
- Complaints should provide sufficient information and, where possible, supporting evidence.
- Individuals affected by a decision may submit an appeal where there is new evidence or a significant concern regarding the fairness or procedure of the investigation.
- Appeals will be reviewed fairly and independently by the designated GCMR Appeals Committee.

7. Acknowledgement of Ethical Guidelines

GCMR 2026 is committed to following internationally recognized principles of publication and research ethics. This statement has been developed with reference to established academic and publication ethics guidance, including the principles and recommendations of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

GCMR 2026 reserves the right to update this statement where necessary to reflect developments in research ethics, academic publishing, and responsible use of emerging technologies.

For ethical concerns or enquiries:

GCMR Ethics Committee

Email: gcmr@iaicampus.com

Conference Website: <https://conference2026.iaicampus.com/>